FILED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COUNT

SEP 6 2011 JOHN A. CLARKE CLERK BY S. SKORICH, DEPUTE

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19<sup>-</sup> 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff.

v.

THE LAW OFFICES OF KRAMER AND KASLOW, a California professional law corporation and also doing business as K2 LAW, MASS LITIGATION ALLIANCE and CONSOLIDATED LITIGATION GROUP; PHILIP ALLEN KRAMER, an individual; MITCHELL J. STEIN & ASSOCIATES, INC., a California corporation; MITCHELL J. STEIN, an individual and doing business as MITCHELL J. STEIN & ASSOCIATES; CHRISTOPHER VAN SON, an individual and doing business as THE LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER J. VAN SON and CONSOLIDATED LITIGATION GROUP: MESA LAW GROUP CORP., a California corporation; PAUL WARREN PETERSEN, an individual; ATTORNEYS PROCESSING CENTER, LLC, a California limited liability company and also doing business as ATTORNEY PROCESSING CENTER and PROCESSING CENTER: DATA MANAGEMENT, LLC, a California limited

liability company; GARY DIGIROLAMO, an

individual; BILL MERRILL STEPHENSON.

Case No. LC094571

# [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Lodged: September 6, 2011

Rec'd NW O SEP -6 2011

[BROPOSED] PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

1	an individual; MITIGATION		
2	PROFESSIONALS, LLC, a California limited liability company, and doing business as K2		
3	LAW; GLEN RENEAU, an individual; PATE, MARIER AND ASSOCIATES, INC., a California corporation; JAMES ERIC PATE, an individual; RYAN WILLIAM MARIER, an individual; HOME RETENTION DIVISION, a business organization of unknown form;		
4			
5			
6	MICHAEL ANTHONY TAPIA, an individual and doing business as CUSTOMER		
7	SOLUTIONS GROUP and HOME RETENTION DIVISION; LEWIS		
8	MARKETING CORP., a California corporation; CLARENCE JOHN BUTT, an		
9	individual; THOMAS DAVID PHANCO, an		
10	individual; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
	Defendants.		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22		•	
23			
24			
25			
26			
∠0			

 On \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2011, the Court conducted an Order to Show Cause hearing for Defendants to show cause, if any, why a preliminary injunction should not be issued in accord with the Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze and an Order to Show Cause re: Preliminary Injunction entered by the Court on August 15, 2011.

The People represented to this Court that while they contend their civil prosecution of Defendants Mitchell J. Stein or Mitchell J. Stein & Associates, Inc. is excepted from any bankruptcy stay pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(b)(4) as an exercise by a governmental unit of a police power, and notwithstanding the appointment of the Receiver and the asset freeze ordered by the Court, they will not attempt to seize or take any action as to the 2003 Mercedes owned by defendant Stein, VIN WDBSK74F03F031100 and license plate No. 5FPF872, or any other personal property of defendant Stein, without first obtaining an appropriate order from the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Florida, West Palm Beach Division.

On reading the complaint in this matter, the People's ex parte application, the memoranda of points and authorities and declarations and exhibits thereto submitted in support of and in opposition to the People's Ex Parte Application for a Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze and an Order to Show Cause re: Preliminary Injunction, and the preliminary report of the court-appointed receiver, and considering the arguments and representations of counsel, the Court orders as follows:

#### ORDER

### RESTRICTIONS ON BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535 and Government Code section 12527, Defendants and their agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, partners, assigns, and those acting in concert or in participation with them, whether acting directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, are enjoined from committing or performing the following acts:

1. Making or causing to be made, or assisting others who are making or causing to be made, any untrue or misleading statements to consumers, in connection with any proposed or actual lawsuit or settlement with their home mortgage lender, including, but not limited to, any

1	misrepresentations that state or imply that:		
2	a. De	Defendants obtained a settlement of such a lawsuit, or that such settlement	
3	is imminent or likely to occur;		
4	b. De	Defendants have filed such a lawsuit against a lender if no such lawsuit has	
5	been filed;		
6	c. Suc	ch proposed or actual lawsuit will provide, or is likely to provide,	
7	outcomes including the following:		
8:	(1	) a certain fixed rate for the life of the loan (e.g., 2% fixed rate);	
9 10	(2	a loan principal reduction (e.g., to 70% or 80% of the value of the property);	
11	(3	) foreclosure protection (e.g., stopping or delaying foreclosures);	
12	(4	) actual or punitive damages;	
13 14	(5	) obtaining homes free and clear of any mortgage or loan obligation; and	
15	(6	elimination of any negative reporting to a credit agency;	
16	d. Suc	ch lawsuit has obtained the following relief for consumers:	
17	(1	a certain fixed rate for the life of the loan (e.g., 2% fixed rate);	
18	(2	a loan principal reduction (e.g., to 70% or 80% of the value of the property);	
19 20	(3	) foreclosure protection (e.g., stopping or delaying foreclosures);	
20	(4	) actual or punitive damages;	
22	(5	) obtaining homes free and clear of any mortgage or loan obligation; or	
23	(6	elimination of any negative reporting to a credit reporting agency;	
24	e. Spe	ecifically regarding the mass joinder lawsuit Ronald v. Bank of America	
25	(Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC409444) filed on March 12, 2009 (Ronald):		
26	(1		
27	Ronald;		
28	(2	Defendants obtained court orders rescinding notices of default for consumers in <i>Ronald</i> ;	
		4 [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	

[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

( collective A

Salifornia corporation/Mitchell I Stein a

Mitchell J. Stein & Associates; Christopher Van Son, an individual, and dba The Law Offices of Christopher J. Van Son and Consolidated Litigation Group; Mesa Law Group Corp., a California corporation; Paul Warren Petersen, an individual any dba, successor, affiliate, subsidiary, or other entity owned, controlled, managed, or held by or on behalf of, or for the benefit of, any of them; and their agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, partners, assigns, and those acting in concert or in participation with them, whether acting directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, are also enjoined from committing or performing the following acts:

- Disseminating, using, relying on, or directing or allowing others to disseminate, use, or rely on:
- any advertisement containing any false, misleading or deceptive statement or the omission of any fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they are made, not false, misleading, or deceptive, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 6157.1;
- any advertisement containing or referring to any guarantee or warranty b. regarding the outcome of a legal matter as a result of representation by an attorney, any agent of the attorney, or any law firm or law corporation doing business in the State of California, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 6157.2, subdivision (a);
- any advertisement containing or referring to any statements or symbols stating that the attorney or any law firm or law corporation doing business in the State of California featured in the advertisement can generally obtain immediate cash or quick settlements, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 6157.2, subdivision (b);
- d. any advertisement made on behalf of an attorney or any law firm or law corporation doing business in the State of California and not paid for by the attorney, law firm, or law corporation that fails to disclose the business relationship, past or present, between the attorney and the person paying for the advertisement, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 6157.3; or

Michael Anthony Tapia, an individual and dba Customer Solutions Group and Home Retention

Division; Lewis Marketing Corp., a California corporation; Clarence John Butt, an individual;

27

28

name of, for the benefit of, or containing funds in which any Defendant purports to own any

interest, or over which account authority is held by any Defendant, or any trust, partnership, joint venture, person, or entity associated with any Defendant, or containing funds derived directly or indirectly in the name of any Defendant, as of the date of the Complaint, including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Any money or other consideration that any Defendant has received or will receive from consumers in connection with any purported or actual lawsuit or settlement with their lenders;
- 2. Any accounts maintained at any financial institution into which any Defendant, their agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, partners, assigns, and those acting in concert or in participation with them, whether acting directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, deposited any of this money;
  - 3. Any profits derived from this money;
- 4. Any real property purchased or maintained, in whole or in part, by any of this money, or which otherwise may be used to satisfy a judgment in this action;
- 5. Any personal property purchased or maintained, in whole or in part, by any of this money, or which otherwise may be used to satisfy a judgment in this action; and
- 6. Any money or other consideration that any Defendant has received or will receive as a result of any proposed or actual lawsuit or settlement, including, but not limited to, money or other consideration obtained from consumers, potential clients, existing clients, and named plaintiffs

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within five (5) business days following service of this Order, each Defendant shall, unless they have already done so:

- 1. Repatriate to the United States all funds, documents, or assets in foreign countries held either by them, for their benefit, or under their direct or indirect control, jointly or singly, and
- a. Notify the People and the receiver appointed in this action (Receiver) of the name and location of the financial institution or other entity that is the recipient of such funds, documents, or assets; and
  - b. Serve this Order on any such financial institution or other entity;

- 2. Provide the People and Receiver with a full accounting of all funds, documents, and assets outside of the territory of the United States held either by them, for their benefit, or under their direct or indirect control, jointly or singly; and
- 3. Hold and retain all repatriated funds, documents, and assets and prevent any transfer, disposition, or dissipation whatsoever of any such assets or funds.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants are hereby enjoined, until further order of this Court, from:

- 1. Transferring, encumbering, selling, concealing, pledging, hypothecating, assigning, creating a security interest in, mortgaging, spending, withdrawing, disbursing, conveying, gifting, devising, concealing, secreting, dissipating, or otherwise disposing of any funds, property, coins, shares of stock, or other assets subject to the asset freeze, wherever located, that are (a) owned in whole or in part or controlled by any Defendant, in whole or in part, (b) in the actual or constructive possession of any Defendant, (c) held by an agent of any Defendant on its behalf, or (d) owned, controlled by, or in the actual or constructive possession of, or otherwise held for the benefit of, any Defendant or any corporation, partnership, or other entity directly or indirectly owned or controlled by any Defendant, as of the date of this Order;
- 2. Committing or permitting any waste on any property subject to the asset freeze or any part thereof;
- 3. Doing any act which will, or which will tend to, impair, defeat, divert, prevent, or prejudice the preservation of the property subject to the asset freeze or its income, rents, issues, profits, proceeds, sales, and all other monies arising therefrom;
- 4. Collecting or attempting to collect payment, in whole or in part, from any consumer who hired Defendants prior to the entry of this Order;
- 5. Opening or causing to be opened any safe deposit boxes titled in the name of any Defendant or subject to access by any Defendant; or
- 6. Incurring charges or each advances on any credit or debit card issued in the name, singly or jointly, of any Defendant, or any corporation, partnership, or other entity directly or indirectly owned or controlled by any Defendant.

The freeze imposed by this Order shall not be construed to prohibit the State Bar of California from disbursing funds that are held in trust fund accounts or client funds accounts established pursuant to Rule 4-100 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct and that are held for the benefit of legal services clients.

## PRESERVATION OF RECORDS AND TANGIBLE THINGS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants and their agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, partners, assigns, and those acting in concert or in participation with them, whether acting directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, are enjoined from:

- 1. Destroying, secreting, defacing, transferring, or otherwise altering or disposing, in any manner, directly or indirectly, any documents that relate to the business practices or business or personal finances of any Defendant or any other entity directly or indirectly under the control of any Defendant; or
- 2. Failing to create and maintain books, records, and accounts which, in reasonable detail, accurately, fairly, and completely reflect the income, assets, disbursements, transactions, and use monies by any Defendant or any other entity directly or indirectly under the control of any Defendant.

#### **BOND**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in accordance wit Code of Civil Procedure section

995.220, subd. (a), no bond is required of Plaintiff.

IT IS Executed Ordered that heaving on the OSC as

IT IS Executed Ordered that heaving on the OSC as

IT IS Executed Ordered that heaving on the OSC as

IT IS Executed Ordered to Sept at Sept and Contact to Sept at S

of Los Angels