1 2	Andrew W. Robertson (SBN 62541) arobertson@mcnamarallp.com Edward Chang (SBN 268204)	
3	echang@mcnamarallp.com McNamara Smith LLP	
4	655 West Broadway, Suite 1600	
5	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: 619-269-0400 Facsimile: 619-269-0401	
6	Attorneys for Receiver, Thomas W. McNamara	
7	Thomas W. McNamara	
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. 2:16-cv-02594-TJH (FFMx)
12	Plaintiff,	RECEIVER'S FIFTH STATUS REPORT AND ACCOUNTING
13	V.	Judge: Hon. Terry J. Hatter, Jr.
14		Ctrm.: 9B
15	PLCMGMT LLC, dba PROMETHEUS LAW, JAMES A. CATIPAY, and DAVID A. ALDRICH,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Cose No. 2.16 ov 02504 THI (EEMy)

# RECEIVER'S FIFTH STATUS REPORT AND ACCOUNTING

As the Court-appointed Receiver of Defendant PLCMGMT LLC, dba Prometheus Law ("Prometheus"), <sup>1</sup> I submit this Fifth Status Report and Accounting.

#### I. First and Second Interim Distributions Completed

On June 20, 2018, the Court approved the "Procedures for the Receiver's Final Determination of Investor Claims and Pro Rata Distributions to Investors with Approved Claims." ECF No. 114. We immediately implemented the procedures. Specifically, on June 21, we posted the Preliminary Schedule of Approved Investor Claims. The next day, we sent the Notice to Prometheus Investors via U.S. mail and email to investors we identified to have suffered a net stripped capital loss or any investor who asserted a claim. Investors contacted us to provide updated addresses and inquired about the specific amount they would receive. We did not receive any objections to the Preliminary Schedule. Accordingly, the Final Schedule of Investor Claims was posted on July 19. Checks for the first interim distribution were mailed on July 24. Investors received a distribution equal to 9% of their approved claim. A total of \$1,056,660.24 was disbursed.

As a result of the receipt of settlement proceeds and clawback payments, we made a second distribution on August 23. Investors received a distribution equal to 2% of their approved claim – a total of \$234,813.38. The amount of funds ultimately available for future distributions is primarily dependent from this point forward on lead counsel's success in recovering on the case portfolio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I was appointed by the Court's Preliminary Injunction entered April 26, 2016 (ECF No. 20).

#### II. Receivership Accounting

The receivership bank account currently has a cash balance of \$320,142.78 but has payables of \$181,707.21, which, provided the Court approves the next fee application, will leave a balance of \$138,435.57.<sup>2</sup> Attached as Exhibit A is the SEC Standardized Fund Accounting Report for the receivership period from appointment on April 26, 2016 through August 24, 2018. That report indicates total receipts of \$2,072,970.59, less total disbursements of \$1,752,827.81, resulting in net cash of \$320,142.78.

#### **III.** Receivership Assets

#### A. Clawback Claims

#### 1. <u>Sales Agents</u>

Clawback claims against Prometheus sales agents have contributed \$337,489.20 to the receivership to date. For the clawback lawsuit against the twenty sales agents with whom we could not settle pre-litigation,<sup>3</sup> eleven defendants settled after the complaint was filed, one defendant was dismissed for improper venue and defaults were entered against eight defendants. The Receiver will seek formal Default Judgments against the eight remaining defendants.

## 2. <u>Prometheus Capital Partners</u>

As previously reported, we settled the lawsuit filed against PCP<sup>4</sup> which included cancellation of the \$2.9 million Deed of Trust on the Flower Street Condo, clearing the way for the sale of the condo. PCP also agreed to return its \$119,000 commission, which PCP has satisfied now.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A proposed fee application has been submitted to the SEC for their review. Provided the SEC does not object to the fees and expenses, the application will be presented to the Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> McNamara v. Allen, et al., (C.D. Cal.) Case No. 2:17-cv-02858-TJH (FFMx).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *McNamara v. Prometheus Capital Partners, LLC*, (C.D. Cal.) Case No. 2:17-cv-04821-TJH (FFMx).

### 3. <u>Catipay's Family and Friends</u>

The third clawback lawsuit<sup>5</sup> seeks recovery of approximately \$1.3 million disbursed to Catipay's family and friends (parents, sister, brother, ex-wife, and former girlfriend). Shortly after the complaint was served on Catipay's sister, we reached a settlement of \$21,112 – 75% of our claim against her. She has paid \$14,207.16 thus far. Catipay's parents and ex-wife filed for bankruptcy in Los Angeles County. Our bankruptcy counsel obtained a default judgment against Catipay's ex-wife in the amount of \$792,218. This judgment is nondischargeable. Catipay's brother has not responded to the lawsuit against him and we will seek a default judgment. For Catipay's former girlfriend, the Court granted summary judgment against her, finding that Catipay made payments totaling \$327,333.94 for her benefit.

#### **B.** Case Portfolio

As previously reported, the primary potential asset of the receivership remains the case portfolio of mass tort cases generated by Prometheus-funded marketing. But, there is limited certainty or predictability as to the ultimate value of that portfolio. To date, the receivership has received \$287,595.24 as its fee share on settlements of 28 non-Risperdal cases.

The Receiver requested an accounting from Paglialunga & Harris ("P&H") and its marketing affiliate Justice Roundtable ("JRT"). Prometheus disbursed \$2,525,000 to P&H and JRT prior to the appointment of a receiver. After conducting a comprehensive review of P&H/JRT's expenditures, we confirmed that the vast majority of the funds (\$2,375,133) was properly expended for marketing and related services by P&H/JRT. We believe there was a deficit of \$149,867, which was paid to P&H/JRT but was not directly used for marketing. P&H/JRT vigorously disagreed with our conclusion and contended the funds were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> McNamara v. Catipay, et al., (C.D. Cal.) Case No. 2:17-cv-04347-TJH (FFMx).

1	spent on marketing. In addition, P&H/JRT believed they were entitled to credit for	
2	other internal expenses necessary for the intake of cases, which they contend	
3	should have been rightfully included in marketing expenses, but which the	
4	Receiver refused to credit. To resolve the disagreement and settle the issues, the	
5	Receiver and P&H/JRT agreed that P&H would allocate 100 additional Risperdal	
6	cases, which were in the P&H portfolio, to the Catipay portfolio. That allocation	
7	has since been completed and, as a result of the settlement, the case portfolio	
8	currently contains 2,378 cases relating to 11 different drugs – 2,304 Risperdal	
9	cases, 56 cases covered by mass tort settlements (i.e., Actos (20 cases), Nuvaring	
10	(4 cases), Pradax (3 cases), Topomax (1 case), TVM (23 cases), Tylenol (2 cases),	
11	and Yaz (3 cases)) and 18 other unsettled cases (i.e., IUD (2 cases), SSRI (15	
12	cases), and Xarelto (1 case)). See ECF No. 113 at pages 8-9.	
13	Dated: August 27, 2018	
14	D //Th. XV M N	
15	By: /s/ Thomas W. McNamara Thomas W. McNamara,	
16	Receiver	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on August 27, 2018, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of the filing to all participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users. /s/ Edward Chang Edward Chang