1	Thomas W. McNamara	
2	tmcnamara@mcnamarallp.com 655 West Broadway, Suite 1600	
3	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: 619-269-0400 Facsimile: 619-269-0401	
4	Facsimile: 619-269-0401	
5	Court-Appointed Receiver	
6	Andrew W. Robertson (SBN 62541) arobertson@mcnamarallp.com	
7	McNamara Smith LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1600	
Q	San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: 619-269-0400 Facsimile: 619-269-0401	
8	Facsimile: 619-269-0401	
9	Attorneys for Receiver	
10		DICTRICT COLUMN
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13		
14	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	Case No. 8:16-cv-00999 DOC (AFMx)
15	Plaintiff,	RECEIVER'S STATUS REPORT FOLLOWING THE COURT'S
16	v.	ORDER AUTHORIZING SALE OF NEWPORT BEACH REAL
17	DAMIAN KUTZNER, et al.,	PROPERTY AND FOUR PIECES OF JEWELRY [391]
18	Defendants.	
19		JUDGE: Hon. David O. Carter CTRM: 9D
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		Coso No. 9:16 ov 00000 DOC (AEMy)

I. INTRODUCTION

On December 18, 2017, this Court issued its Order Denying Motion for Relief from Judgment [368]; Denying Motion to Stay Pending Appeal [372]; and Granting Motion for Order to Authorize Sale of Newport Beach Real Property and Four Pieces of Jewelry [370] (the "December 18, 2017 Order"). (ECF No. 391.) Among other things, the Court ordered Jeremy Foti and any other current residents to vacate 300 Morning Star Lane, Newport Beach, California 92660 (the "Time Out Property" or "Newport Beach Real Property") owned by Time Out Management Ltd. LLC ("Time Out") by February 16, 2018. (ECF No. 391 at 20.) This Report provides an overview of Foti's various delay tactics and the current status of the Time Out Property.

12 II. FOTI'S ACTIONS BEFORE HE WAS REQUIRED TO VACATE

Foti sought emergency relief from the December 18, 2017 Order. First, he filed an emergency stay motion with the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Foti's motion was denied. *See Fed. Trade Comm'n v. Foti*, Case No. 17-56455, Order, ECF No. 17 (9th Cir. Jan. 24, 2018). Then, he filed an emergency stay motion with the Supreme Court of the United States. The Supreme Court denied that request. *Foti v. Fed. Trade Comm'n*, Case No. 17A845, (Feb. 8, 2018) (application denied).

On the morning of February 15, 2018, my counsel called Foti's counsel and left a message asking for a return call to discuss whether Foti vacated the Time Out Property and the logistics of turning over the Time Out Property to the Receiver. *See* Declaration of Edward Chang ("Chang Decl.") at ¶ 3. Foti's counsel did not respond until the evening of February 16, 2018 – the day Foti was required to vacate the property – but then only providing a copy of Foti's individual personal Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition, which Foti filed two days earlier, and no further explanation. *See In re Jeremy Foti*, Case No. 8:18-bk-10492, ECF No. 1 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Feb. 14, 2018); Chang Decl. at Ex. A. Foti's counsel did not reveal that

Foti had vacated the property; it was only five days later in a meet and confer call on the Receiver's intended *ex parte* application to seek an Order to Show Cause

3

4

5

1

2

that Foti's counsel revealed he had vacated the property.

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18 Chang Decl. at ¶ 5.

19 20

21

22

24

27

28

In Foti's bankruptcy filing, Foti states that he lives at the Newport Beach Real Property, but does not claim an ownership interest in the property and affirmatively states he does not rent the property. See In re Jeremy Foti, ECF No. 1 at 2-3. These statements are consistent with the fact that Time Out is the owner of the Newport Beach Real Property and at least since January of 2016 Foti has lived in the property as a squatter – not a renter. He has not paid the mortgage, taxes, insurance, or rent for the property for more than twenty months. Foti's individual bankruptcy appeared to be a desperate effort to extend his squatting habitation of the Newport Beach Real Property. I directed my counsel to prepare an ex parte application for an Order to Show Cause why the Court should not hold Foti in contempt for failing to vacate the Time Out Property. On February 21, 2018, during the call to advise Foti's counsel of the *ex parte* application, Foti's counsel stated for the first time that Foti vacated the Time Out Property. See

Foti's counsel contended that the automatic stay associated with Foti's personal bankruptcy prevented the Receiver from taking possession of the Time Out Property. We disagreed, noting that Foti did not have a legal ownership or equitable interest in the Time Out Property and did not claim otherwise in the bankruptcy petition.

SECURING THE TIME OUT PROPERTY

After being informed that Foti vacated the Time Out Property, my counsel requested the keys and alarm code to the property to secure the property. See id. at ¶ 6. Despite multiple requests, Foti refused to cooperate. Also, on February 21, 2018, we reminded Foti of his obligations to cooperate with the Receiver pursuant to the Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 153) and the Receiver's duty to, among

2

other things, "[t]ake exclusive custody, control, and possession of the Receivership Estate," and "[c]onserve, hold, and manage all receivership Assets, and perform all acts necessary or advisable to preserve the value of those Assets." (ECF No. 153 at 26:7-8, 27:9-10.) We also informed Foti's counsel that we would hire a locksmith to change the locks to the Time Out Property on February 22, 2018. *See* Chang Decl. at ¶ 7 and Ex. B.

Yesterday morning, February 22, 2018, my counsel again asked Foti's counsel for the keys and the alarm code. Foti refused to provide the keys to the Time Out Property and refused to provide the alarm code. Instead, we were told by Foti's counsel that the alarm was active, in an attempt to prevent us from entering the property. We contacted the alarm company to provide notice that we were entering the property. *See id.* at ¶¶ 8-12.

At approximately 12:00 p.m. yesterday, the locksmith arrived at the Newport Beach Real Property. *See id.* at ¶ 11. Livvia Wilson, Foti's mother-in-law, and another individual arrived at approximately the same time. Ms. Wilson explained that she was there to retrieve some personal items. Since Ms. Wilson had a key to the property, she opened the front door, which did not trigger the alarm. *See id.* at ¶ 13. Contrary to Foti's assertion, the alarm was not active. The locksmith changed the keys to the Time Out Property and as of 2:00 p.m. yesterday, we have the only keys to the property. *See id.* at ¶ 15.

Incredibly, at the same time we were dealing with Foti's counsel to gain access to the Time Out Property, Foti took an even more desperate act to extend his squatting on the property. At roughly 10:30 a.m. yesterday morning, Foti filed a Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition for Time Out. *See id.* at Ex. C. Foti's action violates the Preliminary Injunction, which plainly prohibits Receivership Entities – like Time Out – from filing bankruptcy petitions absent permission from this Court.

///

XII. BANKRUPTCY

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of the appointment of the Receiver, the Receivership Entities are prohibited from filing petitions for relief under the United States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq., or any other similar insolvency proceeding, without prior permission from this Court.

(ECF No. 153 at 34:4-8.)

We immediately reminded counsel representing Foti in this case, and his bankruptcy counsel, of the prohibition against filing bankruptcy for Time Out and asked that the petition be withdrawn. Foti's counsel has raised a number of arguments and has refused to withdraw the petition, forcing the Receiver to file this report and associated *ex parte* application for an Order to Show Cause.

IV. MARKETING AND SALE OF THE TIME OUT PROPERTY

The Time Out Property has been secured. Pursuant to the December 18, 2017 Order, I contacted four real estate brokers. After receiving proposals from the brokers, we selected Dean Lueck of First Team Estates, Christie's International Real Estate to be the real estate broker for the Time Out Property. Mr. Lueck presented an impressive marketing plan and approach to sell the property. Mr. Lueck has been ranked one of the top five individual agents in Orange County for 2015 and 2016 by the Wall Street Journal and he has over \$600 million in successfully closed transactions. Mr. Lueck has agreed to steeply discount his commission to 4.125% (2.5% to buyer's agent and 1.625% to seller's agent). Unless directed otherwise, I will proceed in accordance with the December 18, 2017 Order and begin marketing the Time Out Property for sale.

V. CONCLUSION

As the Court can see, Foti has made the process of taking control of the Time Out Property extremely difficult. While we have accomplished physical control of the property, the unlawful Time Out bankruptcy petition remains on file. Our efforts to persuade Foti's counsel to withdraw the petition have gone on for

more than a day at this point without any meaningful progress. We were forced to notify counsel of our intent to seek an *ex parte* application for an Order to Show Cause. In that call, counsel raised a number of arguments and we indicated that we intended to move forward. Very recently, counsel contacted us to indicate Foti was considering withdrawing the petition. However, after all of the nonsense Foti resorted to in the last week, we cannot take any comfort in Foti's supposed reconsideration. We must push forward and bring the ex parte application for an Order to Show Cause. Dated: February 23, 2018 McNamara Smith LLP /s/ Andrew W. Robertson Attorneys for Thomas W. McNamara, Receiver

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on February 23, 2018, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of the filing to all participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users. /s/ Andrew W. Robertson Andrew W. Robertson